From: Moore, Gary
To: "Brenda Basile"

Subject: RE: CES Griggs Road VCP Site

Date: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 11:10:00 AM

## Brenda:

I talked with Weston and they indicated that we placed a small sand berm and absorbent boom around that area as we were having hydrocarbons floating from the sump. If you noticed hydrocarbons under sand I would assume that we failed to clean that far down and that is why you are seeing the hydrocarbons under the sand.

I am assuming that you guys have done on-site surface and subsurface soil sampling and have determined if soil excavations will be required. Other than the area you identified, the areas of concern for me for hydrocarbons would be:

- 1. Underneath the berms that form the ponded area;
- 2. Potholes in the asphalt that are underwater most of the time;
- 3. Soil used for repairing the secondary containment;
- 4. Soil along the outside of the secondary containment;
- 5. Soil where the old vac boxes were stored (this whole area along the wood fence including the ponded area);

FT5180 as far as I know was just one of the multitude of frac tanks. Mickey Edmondson would know more about this.

Thanks

## Gary Moore

Federal On-Scene Coordinator U.S. EPA Region 6 214-789-1627 cell 214-665-6609 office

moore.gary@epa.gov



**From:** Brenda Basile [mailto:Brenda.Basile@pbwllc.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 09, 2016 4:55 PM

To: Moore, Gary

Subject: CES Griggs Road VCP Site


Gary:

The attached photo shows the location of the sand that is covering some hydrocarbon impacts. We are going to handle this but we would like to address all areas (if there are any more) that have similar problems. If you have any information, I'd appreciate it so we can scope the work appropriately. I will follow-up with Terry Andrews and see if TCEQ has information about other locations that we should be aware of.

We are making use of the waste data you generated during the removal action to help with the waste unit closures. The waste in several tanks was nonhazardous so those units should not need to be closed as RCRA waste units. We also have a question about the green frac tank left on the Site (see photo). You said this was owned by CES. The other three tanks (FT-1001, FT-1002, and FT-1004) were waste units listed on the TCEQ Notice of Registration. But we can't match up the green tank, FT-5180, with any of the waste units. The data show it had oily water. Did you

have any other information or was this just one of the multitude of frac tanks? Thanks for your help.

Brenda



+++++CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE+++++

This e-mail and the information contained herein may be confidential and/or protected by the attorney-client, work product or other applicable privilege. This e-mail is intended to be reviewed only by the individual or organization named above. If you are not the intended recipient or an authorized representative of the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, or copying of any portion of this e-mail, and/or any attachments, is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this e-mail from your system. Thank You.